

## **Bridge Eleven**

### **Letting Go of False Hope**

I sent her mother a letter perhaps things had change some, hoping all is well with her family and asking that she may ask her daughter to call me on the phone to talk. I wondered if I should of mention I was writing a book. However for various reasons, I though it be best not to mention it, due to I didn't want to cause a commotion, didn't know how it may be taken, perhaps very negative. I waited a few weeks and heard nothing back. I sense once again had to let go of any false hope, to keep looking for someone special to marry and continue working on the book until its finish.

Reality I tell myself, I lost her many years ago; she is married and has children. Which then God has blessed her. I hope the best for her.

Perhaps nothing would of change between me and her, even if they would of let me talk to the sergeant and they allowed me to return home honorably. Or perhaps it may have been enough for her to realize my intentions were honorable and I was not a threat to her.

The police officer that tighten excessive the handcuffs to the point my wrist bleed, the woman state trooper that tighten excessive the handcuffs so my blood stop circulating and the African American state trooper that drove the car, speeding down the long bend in the road, almost touched the gray guard rail, all fell short of the honor of being a police officer. I wonder how many more people out there had a similar behavior of anger by police officers, in excessive tightening of handcuffs to perhaps even make it seem they were resisting arrest when they were actually struggled against the pain? How many police officers cause great bodily harm or even death?

Some police in their illusions of their own justice, think their doing the public a service. They have justified to themselves, **"Do evil that good may come"**. Is this the official motto we want for the police, state troopers of America? Some writings, recently I found on the Internet.

According to the United States Supreme Court, an officer's use of force (against a "free citizen") must be objectively reasonable, based upon the totality of the circumstances known to him/her at the time. Hence, an officer's use of restraints must be in compliance with federal case and statutory law. In the normal restraint of a person as the result of a lawful temporary detention or arrest, the restraint used by the officer will be considered a use of force. Any use of force upon a free citizen by a law enforcement officer is governed by the Fourth Amendment's "objective reasonableness" test. The officer must be objectively reasonable in the application of the restraints - use of force. This reasonableness analysis contemplates careful consideration of the facts and circumstances of the incident, including: (1) the severity of the crime at issue, (2) whether the suspect poses an immediate threat to the safety of officers and others, and (3) whether the suspect is actively resisting arrest or attempting to evade arrest by flight. These three considerations fall under the umbrella of the "totality of the circumstances" known to the officer at the time force was used.

If the officer uses more force than is objectively reasonable, the officer will violate the person's Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizures - use of force. If the officer uses excessive (unreasonable) force, (s)he may be liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violation of the person's constitutional rights. The officer could also face Federal criminal prosecution (under 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law).

Recent Fourth Amendment, Developments in Use of Force

**Edmund Zigmund, Senior Instructor**  
**Federal Law Enforcement Training Center**  
**U.S. Dept. of Homeland Security**

Glynco, GA 31524

2. Kopec v. Tate, 361 F.3d 772 (3rd Cir. 2004)

A man and his girlfriend trespassed onto a frozen lake. An officer arrived to investigate. The officer sought to obtain their names, addresses, and phone numbers for his report. The man refused to provide this information and instructed his girlfriend not to do so either. The officer arrested him and handcuffed him behind his back. Within approximately ten (10) seconds of being handcuffed, the arrestee began to lose feeling in his right hand and asked the officer to loosen the handcuffs, but the officer did not do so. According to the arrestee, it took the officer about ten (10) minutes from the time he had handcuffed the man finally to loosen the handcuffs. The arrestee claimed to have permanent injury and filed an action against the officer under section 1983 alleging excessive force. On appeal, the court held that officer is not entitled to qualified immunity on the excessive force claim and therefore the district court's grant of summary judgment was improper. The officer faced rather benign circumstances rather than a dangerous situation involving a serious crime or armed criminals. The right of an arrestee to be free from the use of excessive force in the course of his handcuffing clearly was established and a reasonable officer would have known that employing excessive force in the course of handcuffing would violate the Fourth Amendment.

3. Meredith v. Erath, 342 F.3d 1057 (9th Cir. 2003)

IRS agents executed a search warrant upon the property of a woman who was being investigated for tax violations. Upon entering the third floor, the agents encountered another woman who was not the target of the investigation. This woman loudly told the agents that the search was illegal and asked to see a search warrant. When the woman repeated her request to see a search warrant the agent grabbed her by her arms, forcibly threw her to the ground and, twisting her arms, placed handcuffs on her wrists. The woman complained several times that the handcuffs were too tight and were causing her pain, but for 30 minutes they were left as they were; thereafter, they were loosened so that they would not be painful, but would nonetheless restrain her. The handcuffs were removed several hours late and the woman was told she could leave. The woman claims she suffered extensive bruising. She filed a lawsuit claiming that the agent used excessive force in handcuffing her.

On appeal, the court held that the IRS Agent was not entitled to qualified immunity on summary judgment as to: (1) the excessive force claim; and (2) the claim that she was unlawfully detained for 30 minutes in overly tight handcuffs that caused her pain. The woman loudly asked several times to see a search warrant. The woman did not pose a safety risk and made no attempt to leave the property. The agent was investigating income tax related crimes, which (although felonies) are nonviolent offenses. The woman objected vociferously to the search and she "passively resisted" the handcuffing, but the need for force, if any, was minimal at best.

About a month later, I went to my usual restaurant to have dinner. I notice a new girl was working. She had a strong resemblance to the girl from the skating rinks. I couldn't help looking a few times intently at her from a distance, because of the resemblance. She came to my table and was very pleasant. She smiled and I notice a slight gap between her two front teeth, like the girl from the skating rinks before she had braces when seeing her. Very strange I thought, I wondered if she is perhaps related to the girl at the skating rinks, because of the resemblance, but it seem much to remote. I went to check out at the cashier, while cashing out she came from behind the doors, from the kitchen and walked towards me. When she was beside me, the other waitress said goodnight, saying her name. Which happen to be the same name of the girl at the skating rinks. It felt very strange hearing her name. I walked out of the restaurant, it was dark outside being it was night. I began walking towards my home and notice the girl was several strides ahead of me, passing some men standing at another door entrance. I notice she pulled out a cigarette ahead of me and began smoking it. I wondered if I was to catch up to her, being I walk rather fast usually, if

I should say something to her. She looked behind her shoulder and notice me walking behind her, then she all of a sudden, change her direction into the street and darted across at an angle from me. She returning somewhat the same direction where she came from, but this time towards the police station, which is across from the restaurant. Reminded me of my old girl who also ran from me long ago. I sense she might have been frighten, due to I looked at her a few times rather intently in the restaurant, being she reminded me so much of the girl at the skating rinks. I just continued to walk, crossed our city's major intersection the rest of the way home. Thinking that was very strange what happened, reminded me of my dream.

A few days afterward, as it was my routine at the time going for long walks, around the park, I stopped at the restaurant, about 7 am in the morning. She was there, wearing faded blue jeans and a light blue fleece, reminding again of the girl at the skating rinks. I overheard she came to the restaurant on her bicycle, she picked up the pot of coffee and brought me some, she was very pleasant, with a smile. Then she left the restaurant. I went for breakfast once a week there, and notice she had the same routine coming to the restaurant for about forty five minutes then leaving with some bake goods it seemed in a box and restaurant supplies, seemed to be with her mom. I thought perhaps she was going to another restaurant. The owner seemed to also been involved in other restaurants or perhaps catering. She seemed young, I thought perhaps she goes to high school, but the high school would of started already, for her to be at the restaurant. I figure she may be nineteen or twenty years old, or perhaps younger. I mention to my niece that a girl works up at the restaurant, that strongly resembles the girl I was seeing long ago. My niece said she knew a girl who started to work at the restaurant from school, who had a child lately. I wasn't sure it was her, but perhaps it would be.

Another day after a walk through South Park, I sat near the police station across from the restaurant on some public benches, wondering if perhaps she be working, should I go inside. Then I notice she came out of the restaurant, and perhaps she notice me from the corner of her eye, sitting across the street, she began walking. I thought perhaps I should cross the street and catch up to her and say hi, talk to her, perhaps ask her out. I felt very uncomfortable, her being perhaps so young and perhaps she has a baby, would perhaps make her married in God's eyes. Best to let her be, I remained sitting. I observed the weather, one of my favorite fall days, sunny, warm, air crisp and clear, the leaves of the trees were all so golden color. Usually the leaves would of blown off the trees but hadn't, it was a remarkable day. I felt it would have been a great day to walk with someone.

Then it seemed she stopped working at the restaurant. Next spring after I returned from spending some months in Florida with my parents, I went to another familiar restaurant. Notice the girl from the other restaurant working there, she came over to my table. I mention to her I remember her from the other restaurant working. She told me she quit the other job a while back. She took my order. I wondered should I say something to her when she returns, perhaps about I wanted to ask her out some months ago. I thought she was to young, but I should at least let her be aware I wanted to ask her out. When she came back, I said to her, are you aware I wanted to ask you out a while back. She then said, I need to let you know, I am quite young, 17 years old. I thought I should tell her my age, so I told her my age, I'm forty years old. She served me my dinner. Then when she returned, I ask her are you a little shock about my age. She said, was a little shock. We talk a little more, about her plans to go take the equivalence test, since she quite high school, she was very pleasant. She came back to the table and stuck her thumb out pointing to the kitchen, asking in a spontaneous, friendly way, want anything else. I looked at her thumb, looked the same as the girl's thumb at the skating rink. I felt a sense pleasantness, it was nice seeing someone that reminded me of the girl at the skating rinks, pleasant to me, it felt nice.

Another day I went with my parents to the restaurant, she came and waited on us, she greeted my parents and she said, I know you, as if being very spontaneous, friendly. My mom ask her name, she said her name. A slight different name then I heard from the waitress had said, when she said goodnight to her at the other restaurant. Which was just a slight deviation from the other name I heard, but having the same beginning. The ending of her name was the same as the ministers daughter's ending name. She accidentally knocked over a glass of water on the table with her hand, when placing the silverware and

talking at the same time to us. I went back to the restaurant a few times as the weeks went by, she was always very pleasant to me, and I was pleasant to her.

One day I taken my friend to the restaurant, not mentioning to him about the waitress. I wondered if he knew of her by just seeing her or may say something. When he saw the same waitress some distance from us, he recognized her, and said she use to baby sit his son. That she was very good with his son, attentive baby sitter. I told him what had occurred earlier, noticing her, some things that had happen and how she resembled the girl long ago. He said she is a very beautiful woman, that any man would be very happy and content, married to a woman like her. That he tried direct his older son towards her, finding them together once or twice.

Whenever I go to the restaurant where she works, she been very nice to me, treating me a little special it seems, very friendly. Interesting to see the resemblance in her outward appearance, her mannerisms, and being very spontaneous, like the girl from the skating rinks. Sometime later I found out supposedly she didn't have a child. Another time, I was at the restaurant with my parents, sister and her husband. She came to take out order, when she came to me she came so close to my side, I felt her lower front bump into my side, like a thump. I was somewhat startled, made me wonder. I figured she must of accidentally came to close, even made me wonder till today. Another day, at the time I recently made a couple of business cards, having only my web site address, crhistjustified.com. I gave her the card, then I didn't see her again working for a while. A winter or so later her sister that worked there, told me she started working at the previous restaurant. Where I first seen her. My friend said she worked there some and then left. I hope the best for her.

A part purpose in writing this book, is concerning the visual while in jail not eating for seven days, I may have to write a book to reach her. I wonder if I'm to reach more then just her, but many woman? I hope someday we could perhaps talk, but if God meant it for us to never talk, then that's his will. If it wasn't for the violation of my constitution rights 16 years ago, perhaps we could of talk things out at a later time if she had called me, it would have been lots of work, but perhaps God would of carried us through. I wonder if God perhaps allowed the evil to flourish, so someday I would write a book exposing the evils in the world.

I have a hope, dream perhaps men and woman will come through the solid steel emergency doors inside their hearts and minds. Revealing to especially anyone who had became one flesh with them, who need vital information to find the answers if they are either bound in a lifetime marriage in God's eyes or free to marry in God's eyes.

I wonder if long ago, I had told her as I originally intended that my older brother molested me at a young age, would it of mattered? I wonder if I would of told her when I said, I had thoughts of hurting her, that it was not my thoughts, but thoughts about my brother's behavior, that I abhorred, and wondered about at times. I had determine never be like him, but I wanted to be certain by perhaps finding answers from God's word, would it of mattered? I wonder if I would of told her I wanted to kiss her in all honesty, truthfulness, and genuine love for a lifetime, would it of mattered? I wonder if I had told her, I was very afraid of reuniting to soon, I may lose my will to find God and his answers for both of us. That I need to search for the time being, I may falter at times, but I must continue to search for God, would it of mattered to her?

If she was in front of me, seeing her face to face, I would ask her if her co workers didn't unjustly dishonored me, would she of perhaps contacted me? I don't think she can answer this, only perhaps what she would of imagined she would of done, if seeing me as honorable, going back home. God really only knows. The second question, would perhaps also answer the first question some, did she have mutual consented sexual intercourse with someone that was free to marry in God's eyes, long before most of the events that happen between us. If she answered yes, I would always want the best for her and the man. The third question is was her mom telling the truth that she married, and was the man she married free to marry according to God's command, God's eyes. If he was, I would hope best for her and her man. I think these questions are somewhat universal for many couples who may find themselves in somewhat similar circumstances, that need answers, to know with certainly their standing before God.

I remember when the toads were thrown over the bridge. I went down to where they were thrown, beside the rail road tracks on an incline, and seen they survived the fall, but many were upside down, disoriented. I sense perhaps, I may of picked up a large rock, to crush them, but instead of crushing them I put the rock down. I do remember as gently as possible with the front of my foot, I turned them upside up, bending down watching them hop away.

I am 41 years old, 23 years of bible study, don't mean it makes me infallible, just shows I have attempted to find truth, not a novice. I have written down my bible research "Jesus' marriage proclamation one flesh (mutual consenting sexual intercourse) is when marriage occurs & addresses the dire plight of woman, permanence of marriage and adultery". For them who fear God, especially young woman and men.

There are variations of thought on this issue among churches of Christ. Many others who really haven't determine for sure one way or the other. Many who will never have to deal with this issue, because they are in a strong healthy marriage from virginity. Being on the personals web site for some years for church of Christ singles, it seems more apparent the entering into false marriages, marriages not in God's eyes, are manifesting themselves more and more. Where in years past, the blight of such false marriages were kept more at a distance. Instant messaging with the woman and men there, sharing with them what I found in the scriptures concerning marriage, some had said, if they would of known the things pointed out in scripture, when is a marriage in God's eyes, earlier in their life, they would of done things much different. One particular lovely young lady, who found someone special, were planning their marriage, told me she was going to follow within the suggestions of the article.

About my old friend the firemen, I remember when he had me follow him one night across the street. To the place along the railroad tracks, as if very joyful within, pointing to the slight incline, the short path and tall grass on each side, he claimed he became one flesh with his girl. I'm not the one to determine if what he claimed is false, or true. Nor I believe anyone else is in the position to say either, except the girl, I left at the eternal flame falls. Only God and they know in their hearts if it is true. If it was the first, between both of them, if they fall in the guidelines found in scripture, then the spirit (same line of thought) as Moses would of said, "If a man find a damsel that is a virgin, which is not betrothed, and lay hold on her, and lie with her, and they be found;..... he cannot put her always the rest of his days" and especialy more so, Jesus had said "made them at the beginning made them male and female" "they twain (two) shall be one flesh (sexual intercourse)? Wherefore they are no more twain (two), but one flesh (same flesh since sexual intercourse). Therefore what God hath joined together, let not man put asunder (separate)". If they are married in God's eyes, any man or woman, family member, relative, friend, government, elected politician, religious leader separates them, are under the violation of Jesus' and his father, God's command.

I arrived home March 15, 2007 from my stay in Florida for some winter months with my parents. The book is taking longer to write then I expected. The finer details, trying to remember the best of my ability thought for thought, and anything may of be significance. The thing I miss the most of the western New York is the fresh crisp air, that makes you feel alive again. The next day, I visited my niece, and talk some, she informed me the windmills for generating electricity, on the waterfront are being constructed. Also we talked about the large sign I put in front of large department store, before it moved. What I had written on it the sign, due to I wasn't sure. She reminded me it started with the word, help. Then I realized I forgotten the word, help. The sign read "**Children**" at the top and below the sun, water and three fish. Read, "**Help Save our Waterfront**". Somehow another sign came up in the discussion. The cemetery put up a sign next door, about the same size as the sign I put up, also a sunset. My niece said she thought I put it up, being it has a sunset. Some of the words on the sign are "Don't put off until tomorrow what you can do today" and Inquire about the advantages of pre-planning" for the cemetery. I drove over to the waterfront and seen the windmills. Noticed there were seven completed, and the eighth center one almost complete.

Sunday I went to church in Amherst. Driving home I past the university of Buffalo. I past an area where I notice in the trees had significant damage, since last fall, from a fierce snow storm. Very unusual last year, October 13, 2006 while the leaves were still green on the trees, at night we had two to three feet

of heavy wet lake effect snow. The wet snow clung to the leaves, tearing the branches off the trees. During the snow storm, there were flashes of blue light from the lighting and from the electrical wires snapping and transformers discharging their electricity. I decided to veer off the thruway, and drive down the main street of Amherst through town. The north end of town, the expensive houses and the older growth trees, many branches were torn off, from the snow storm. Looked like a hurricane came through the town. Continuing on main street, it turned into buffalo, seeing some police officers getting out of the car with green plaid skirts, and the bagpipes in their hands. Then I drove through the theater district and the night life district with many newly renovated store fronts, made into bars. I seen the many people dress up in the Irish attire, holding a bottle of beer in their hands walking along the sidewalk. I drove over the skyway out of the city district, and looked in the distance, toward the old Bethlehem steel plant area on the water front. The windmills, directing my attention, their slender, upright form, as if beacons of change. I read in the newspaper, Frank Lloyd Wright one hundred years ago, wrote of a similar storm in buffalo, October 10<sup>th</sup>, 1906 a snow storm caused the same type of havoc.

The next day I attempted to get some pictures of the windmills from standing on the hill. Where I proposed the observation hill, in the proposed National Bethlehem Waterfront Park. To recognized Jesus of Nazareth, for his secular teachings of human behavior, which were used by our founding fathers and early citizenship, in the creation of our constitution and nation. I stopped at the office building for the windmills. I enter the hallway inside. Noticing the left door open, and some people in the room. I enter the doorway and asked about taking some pictures of the windmills. They said to ask someone in the office at the end of the hallway. I walked down the hallway and entered and ask some seemingly workers. I ask them, if I may take some pictures of the windmills, I'm writing a book. The workers informed me to knock on the door, slightly behind me in the hall. The worker said, "put me in the book". I knocked on the door, entered, asking again. The man said go back down the hall at beginning of the hallway and enter the room, and ask them. I walked back down the hallway and knocked on the door and asked the two people inside. I ask, if I may take some pictures of the windmills. I'm writing a book, can't say what it is exactly about, it has a few sections. One section about living in Lackawanna all my life, and the windmills are a sort of an ending theme to the section. They said they weren't granting permission yet. The man in charge suggested where I could take some pictures off of route five and told me some of the details of the project. He said, once fully operational they will produce enough electricity for six to seven thousand homes. I ask if there is going to be an eight windmill, due to a neighbor who had some access to the some of the property, had seen eight foundations. The man in charge said they couldn't put up the eight windmill yet, due it's been to windy. He said there is a constant nine mile per hour wind. They had to be concern for the safety of their workers. Thanking him for the information. I decided to drive over to Wood Lawn Beach, became a State Park around the year 2000, to take some pictures of the windmills. After taking the pictures when I returned home they had some bad pixels on them. So I purchase a new card the next day and went to route five first, as the man suggested and then to Wood Lawn Beach.



Route Five Telescopic View Across the Ice Covered Lake



Entrance to Wood Lawn Beach



Boardwalk through the Woods to the Beach



Spring Thaw Sunset

I attended bible study Sunday, April-4-2007 at the University of Buffalo, in the building allocated for religious groups to rent and reach out to students. Where I believe psychology and philosophy should also be only, have the same rights and privileges, no more or less. I walked over to the top of the earthen burm about thirty feet high, to take some pictures of the university, to add to the book. When I reach the top of the burm, I wondered if anyone on the field notices me. To the left of me, about 20 yards, horizontally to my eyes, a hawk glided perpendicular of me, seeing it's feathers at the tip of it's wing. I took a picture of the hawk flying some ahead me higher.



University of Buffalo Amherst Campus,  
Government Favoritism of Philosophy and Psychology  
15,000 staff, 27,800 students

April 2007

Brings me back to the best climbing apple tree in the neighborhood. I recently went to the tree and taken a picture, noticing the plum and pear tree, the two clumps of tall lilacs that were near both corners, at the back edge of the property, are gone. In the place of the lilacs a tall wood fence across the back edge. There is also a small shed that was not there before. Observing the apple tree, first noticing the center limb that filled the middle of the tree and reached the highest above, was cut off. A time later I spoke to my childhood Irish friend's older brother, about the center limb that reach the highest. I figured it may have been cut off some years earlier, to make way for a pool. Perhaps so the leaves won't fall into the pool. My friend said around that time. My friend said when young, he had moved to the neighbor hood, seeing a small owl that came regularly perched in the tree at night. The owl would make a sound, my friend tried to imitate the sound. Thinking he's not to good at imitating an owl. He said he would climb the tree at night and smoke cigarettes. Thinking about the apple tree a day or so later, a thought came to my mind, perhaps I'm still holding onto the lower apple branch. Still trying to see who could hold onto the lower branch the longest.

Speaking to the new owner a month later, he said the limb was dieing, he cut it off two years ago, to

try to save the tree.

Recently, I wrote two more letters.

- Big Picture
- Whose World Order?

Big Picture

June 14, 2007

The bible is a library of 66 books, written by about 40 authors, over a 1600 year time frame. In studying the bible it is important to know words had particular meanings, usages in the time they were used, deferent then today. Students of the bible, use the widely accepted examination of word usages in biblical times, called the, Exhaustive Strong's Concordance.

Faith = is defined persuasion, refining it down, not one aspect of persuasion but the larger whelm of God's persuasion that exist in all of Jesus and his Apostles teachings

Spirit = is defined mental disposition, thought, principle, refining it down, frame of mind, particular thinking, thought by thought, logic.

Grace = is defined favor, refining it down, God's unmeritorious provisions, working in man, to justify, save, cleanse

Righteousness = is defined the state of being right, or use more often the usage, right acts, steps, particularly unmeritorious right acts, steps, credited to God working in man

Perfect = Has two Greek spellings, one means to mature, full growth, the other means to complete, fulfill. Which Greek spelling will determine the meaning of the word.

Remission = Has two Greek spellings, one means forbearance, temporary remittance, the other spelling means forgiveness. Which Greek spelling will determine the meaning of the word.

The bible is basically divided into two. The first part, the old testament, old law of sin and death, of the biblical literal nation of Israel. Defined wrong behavior, sins towards your neighbor, to fear wrong behavior, as a schoolmaster directs a child's mentality to fear wrong behavior. Some Israelites thought by deeds, works of the define sin in the old law, they were supposedly pleasing to God, justified, they boast in themselves, being haughty, proud. Contrary the first part the old law of sin and death, was meant to humble, to show all sinned toward their neighbor, all fell short, and only in the the second part of the law are unmeritorious provision in sacrifices of animals and obeying the prophets, their sins were forgiven.

Romans 3:19 Now we know that what things soever the law saith, it saith to them who are under the law: that every mouth may be stopped, and all the world may become guilty before God. 20 Therefore by the deeds of the law there shall no flesh be justified in his sight: for by the law (old law of sin and death) is the knowledge of sin.

The animal sacrifice forgave sins, but couldn't take away sins, meaning particularly perfect the adherent, to keep him or her from sinning year after year. The priest had to sacrifice each year for their own sins. Where if animal sacrifices could perfect the adherent, there would be no need of continual sacrifice.

Hebrews 10:1 For the law having a shadow of the good [things] to come, not the very image of the things, can never with the same sacrifices year by year, which they offer continually, make perfect (complete, finish from sin) them that draw nigh. 2 Else would they not have ceased to be offered? because the worshippers, having been once cleansed, would have had no more consciousness (awareness) of sins. 3 But in those [sacrifices] there is a remembrance made of sins year by year. 4

For it is impossible that the blood of bulls and goats should take away sins (to stop them from later sinning).

The biblical literal nation Israel, imperfect priesthood, imperfect old law of sin and death, the prophets and the unmeritorious providence, righteousness in animal sacrifice had a temporary purpose. All pointed as an allegory toward the second part of the bible, new testament, the establishment of a kingdom of heaven in analogy form. A spiritual (mental disposition, frame of mind) kingdom without boundaries on earth. Has still in Jesus Christ, a perfect literal king, a perfect literal high priest, the perfect literal sacrifice (a spotless lamb of God, without sin) that continues on. Where God first loved, through his son's shed innocent blood, to particularly prick the conscious of men in sin, and particularly containing the law of persuasion (faith), unmeritorious righteousness, right acts to justify, save and cleanse, in belief, repentance, confessing Jesus, baptism and perfect (mature) a man through Christ spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking), empower a man from sinning year after year. With emphasis ALL (types of men of every nation) contrary the Jews only, are saved.

Romans 3:21 But now the righteousness (unmeritorious right acts) of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets; 22 Even the righteousness (unmeritorious right acts) of God which is by faith (persuasion) of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe: for there is no difference: 23 For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God; 24 Being justified freely by his grace (unmeritorious provision) through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus: 25 Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation (mercy seat) through persuasion (faith) in his blood (innocent shed blood has power), to declare (proclaim, herald) his righteousness (his rights acts) for the remission (forbearance, temporary remittance) of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; 26 To declare (proclaim, herald), I say, at this time his righteousness (particular the unmeritorious righteousness, right acts, believe, repentance, confess, and baptism) God works in man, to justify man): that he (Jesus) might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus. 27 Where is boasting then? It is excluded. By what law (old law of sin and death)? of works? Nay: but by the law of persuasion (faith). 28 Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by persuasion (faith) without the deeds of the law.

Why persuasion (faith)? Abraham regarded as the father of persuasion, 400 years previous to the old law of sin and death. God came to Abraham and persuaded Abraham, believing, obeyed God, left his homeland, into the wilderness, the third time God spoke, Abraham continued believed, God declared Abraham righteous. From Abraham an elect (a remnant, small surviving group among the larger number of Israelites in the biblical nation of Israel) received a measure of persuasion while the old law was in effect, and now is the new measure, law of persuasion of Jesus, to dwell again in the elect (a remnant, small surviving group of both Israelites and a number of gentiles) who through faith obey Christ and his Apostles teachings, called the church, chosen of God according to solely mercy and promise, so no man may boast. Faith (persuasion) is an unmeritorious provision (grace)

Romans 4:13 For the promise, that he should be the heir of the world, was not to Abraham, or to his seed, through the law (of sin and death), but through the righteousness (unmeritorious rights acts) of faith (persuasion).14 For if they which are of the law (of sin and death) be heirs, faith (persuasion) is made void, and the promise made of none effect: 15 Because the law (of sin and death) worketh wrath: for where no law is, there is no transgression. 16 Therefore it is of faith (persuasion), that it might be by grace (unmeritorious provision); to the end the promise might be sure to all the seed; not to that only which is of the law (meaning not only Israelites having faith), but to that also which (even gentles) is of the faith (persuasion) of Abraham; who is the father of us all,

Romans 12:1 I beseech you therefore, brethren, by the mercies of God, that ye present your bodies a living sacrifice, holy, acceptable unto God, which is your reasonable service. 2 And be not conformed to this world: but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that ye may prove what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, will of God. 3 For I say, through the grace (unmeritorious provision) given unto me, to every man that is among you, not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think; but to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man

the measure of faith (persuasion).

The word “spirit” in the Exhaustive Strong’s Concordance, is defined as the mental disposition, thought, principle, refining it down, (frame of mind, particular thinking) .

John 6:63 It is the spirit that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing: the words that I speak unto you, they are spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking), and they are life.

Romans 8:1 There is therefore now no condemnation to them that are in Christ Jesus. 2 For the law of the Spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking) of life in Christ Jesus made me free from the law of sin and of death.

The law of faith (persuasion), law of the spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking) are one in the same, part persuasion Jesus’ origins, and the larger whelm of persuasion, consisting of a narrow path of unmeritorious righteousness (right acts). Jesus’ innocent shed blood has a spiritual (logical) effect, declares, proclaims love, forbearance, temporary remittance, to man while still in sin, his shed blood declares, directs, commands to walk in unmeritorious righteousness, right acts, steps. To believe, repent, confess, and baptism (water immersion) to be justified, reborn, be in a state of salvation. Then directs, commands to walk on further in Christ life, to cleanse, perfect (mature) in Christ, walk in his principles of his examples of daily living and in his parables principles, thought by thought, precise, critical thinking, logic to overcome sins, and with equal weight his appointed apostles teachings. All these are the empowerment to justify and over come sin (wrong behavior) towards your neighbor.

Hebrews 12:2 For the word of God is living, and active, and sharper than any two-edged sword, and piercing even to the dividing of soul (breath, life) and spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking), of both joints and marrow, and quick to discern the thoughts and intents of the heart (a repository in our mind, what we treasure). 13 And there is no creature that is not manifest in his sight: but all things are naked and laid open before the eyes of him with whom we have to do. 14 Having then a great high priest, who hath passed through the heavens, Jesus the Son of God, let us hold fast our confession. 15 For we have not a high priest that cannot be touched with the feeling of our infirmities; but one that hath been in all points tempted like as [we are, yet] without sin. 16 Let us therefore draw near with boldness unto the throne of grace (unmeritorious provision), that we may receive mercy, and may find grace (unmeritorious provision) to help [us] in time of need.

Evidence from earlier biblical writings, revealed a particular way of communication in personifications, as in the case of King Solomon personified “wisdom” as a “she, woman, person”, demonstrating when wisdom was within someone, had comforted the person as a woman. This earlier evidence corresponds that Jesus had personified the word “spirit” (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking) of God, holy (pure, without contaminates), as a “he, man, person”. Each case of personification was for the purpose of expressing attributes, such as being comforted, directed, when either wisdom or express as the spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, thoughts) of God are in the mind of man.

Some by more persuasion found within the bible, the word “spirit” personified as a “he”, was so not to fear the spirit, while observing the accompanied powers, when the spirit (mental disposition, thoughts of God) arrived in the first century. Arrived as a sound of a powerful roaring wind, observed as if fiery tongues upon their heads, gave them remembrance of Christ words, gave them miraculous ability to speak in known languages not learned (referred in the bible as speaking in tongues), so to speak to people in the streets had came from other regions that were of other tongues, miracles, instantaneous healing, prophecy and knowledge of the kingdom of heaven. The signs were only meant for a short period of time, the life of the apostles, to confirm the word they spoke had the authority of God. Recorded to persuade, justify, perfect, mature a man in Jesus Christ and his appointed apostle’s knowledge of the spiritual (logical in analogy, in mental form) kingdom of heaven. Until one passes from this life to the other side, for eternal life with God. The kingdom of heaven is within the minds and hearts of men. The people are the kingdom of heaven, the church. Not a structure, building or boundary of land.

The kingdom in analogy form, in the minds and hearts of men, was at it’s center Jesus Christ as

King, as high eternal high priest, and his appointed Apostles. They establish, confirmed the parameters of order and daily living, called churches (congregations of people). When the apostles died off, the independent congregations of people were ruled solely by Christ Jesus as the king, sole eternal high priest and his appointed apostles parameter teachings, recorded, written in the new testament. Every member from the least to the greatest of the kingdom is regarded as a holy royal priest.

1 Peter 2:4 unto whom coming, a living stone, rejected indeed of men, but with God elect, precious, ye also, as living stones, are built up a spiritual house, to be a holy priesthood, to offer up spiritual (logical, mental disposition, frame of mind) sacrifices, acceptable to God through Jesus Christ.

1 Peter 2:9 But ye are a elect race, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, a people for [God's] own possession, that ye may show forth the excellencies of him who called you out of darkness into his marvellous light: 10 who in time past were no people, but now are the people of God: who had not obtained mercy, but now have obtained mercy.

The guidelines in the new testament, was for each independent congregation, using the apostles Paul's written guidelines to select their own elders (bishops, overseers meaning the same) to oversee the independent congregation. Elders of the congregation, by the congregation, for "only" the congregation. No command, charge, authority or guidelines from Christ the king, the sole eternal high priest or his apostles were written in the new testament, to centralize, establish a small group of men, or single man, to be set over, above, a group of congregations. Each congregation is to be independent, with it's own elders, and only Christ as head, as King, sole eternal high priest, and his apostles teachings over the congregation. Where only mutual goals and fellowship among the congregations are shared, without any official centralization, without so called official authority, powers overloading. This to safe guard from the far left or far right, or world king, emperor of taking hold of a centralized authority, powers, to subject all congregations to one's will. Paul writes about divisions, people claiming their of certain people, not of Christ. Paul nips it in bud the first signs of people dividing claiming a leader, using the leader and his name equal with Christ.

1 Corinthians 1:10 Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment. 11 For it hath been declared unto me of you, my brethren, by them which are of the house of Chloe, that there are contentions among you. 12 Now this I say, that every one of you saith, I am of Paul; and I of Apollos; and I of Cephas; and I of Christ. 13 Is Christ divided? was Paul crucified for you? or were ye baptized in the name of Paul?

The signs were written down in the new testament, recorded to be evidence, persuasion for later generations to have the spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, thoughts) of God, to continue to establish independent congregations.

A man's mental disposition, thought by thought, logic, wisdom, discerning at times in analogies, is his spirit. Hostility to this meaning, came from the Roman Emperor Constantine, when established and promoted one sect (claiming to be the one Christianity) in 312 AD over all others groups claiming Christianity. This sect proclaimed as the one Christianity, had already violated primary elements of the new testament, particularly establishing a literal priest hood, and a similarity in establishing a literal high priest, calling him a pope. Both grave violations against the commands, order, pattern given in the new testament. The state's promotion of this one particular group, was due to wanting control, greater sway over a centralized, very small group of men, literal priest (the romans wanted control as in their own literal pagan priest), and one high priest man (referred to as the pope), contrary to lesser control they would have over hundreds, thousands of independent congregations having no centralized hierarchy. A few years later in 325 A.D. this group promoted and established by the state, authorized a newly devised doctrine and terminology, the "trinity", not found in the bible. The trinity, a precept of man, contained concepts, true parts and a grave erroneous parts. The particular grave erroneous part proclaimed the word "spirit" as a literal person. Leaving behind clear evidence in the bible of the use of personifications. Particularly when king Solomon personified wisdom as a woman, to show the comforting attributes, effects, when wisdom is within a person. Their was no literal woman in heaven called wisdom. Similarly Jesus also personified, the spirit, God's mental disposition, thought by thought, revealed by Jesus and his apostles, referred to as a he,

showing the comforting attributes, effects when God's spirit, mental disposition is within an individual, comforts, directs, searches. As in the woman of wisdom, there is no literal person in heaven, called the spirit. They are both personifications, for the easy dissemination of knowledge, and to acquire desire to have both within. Their subjects were imprisoned and tortured if not professing this newly man made concept the trinity, teaching the spirit is a literal person. This manifested a fear, disdain to read the bible, mediate upon it's words, seeking to discern the thought by thought, pattern, spirit within it's words. They were commanded to trust solely an unauthorized establishment of a literal priesthood, small group of men and a unauthorized literal high priest, referred to as the pope. When their subjects congregated, were preach to in the language (Latin), an uncommon language, which couldn't be understood. The sect's members were forbid to read the bible, by the point of cruel death, religious terrorism. Some would be burned alive at the stake. They who attempted to publish the bible in a common language, if had already died, had their bones dug up and burned, then thrown their ashes in the river, by the so called priest, and with approval from their unauthorized literal high priest, the pope, as an example to strike further terror in their subjects. Being burned alive at the stake, people's flesh searing by the flames, by the hands of a literal priest and literal high priest, referred to as a pope, their fear, legacy remains till today. The word "spirit" seared in error as if with a hot iron in some minds as to mean a literal person, keeping them in the darkness.

People who are reading the bible, but perceive the spirit as a literal person, limits their ability to discern the in-depth spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, logic) in the authors of the bible. Some read their words, phrases at face value, meanings of words, spirits (mental dispositions, frame of mind, thoughts) of their own time, thousands of years later they live in, causing them to stumble at perceiving.

Nor is the spirit, mistakenly confused, as an emotional frenzy, as a sign of salvation or as gibberish. Some claim to be speaking in tongues, as an authority sign, to deceive, seduced emotionally, crowds for their money. Some woman who think they speak in tongues then go further in their error, usurp authority over men in an assembly of the congregation (expressly forbidden by the apostles), among certain sects claiming Christianity.

Our early countrymen, left the old world of religious terrorism, to the new world. Eventually began a new form of government, where the state would no longer establish and promote one particular sect claiming to be the one Christianity. Our early development of Government of the United States of America was created in the spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking) of respect, honor, towards the many civilization (social order and peace) spirits (principles, thoughts, particular thinking), found within the Bible. From both the literal kingdom of Israel and in analogy, kingdom of heaven, the church, in the creation of our nation and it's civil laws. With particular regard to:

- Our government's first declaration an acknowledgment of a creator (God)
- Not one particular sect (claiming to be the one Christianity), be established, promoted by the Government above other sects (claiming Christianity). A fair playing field among Christian religious sects.
- Without favoritism towards a particular sect (claiming to be the one Christianity), Government gathered a consensus of particularly civilization (social order and peace) spiritual (logical, principles) from the bible, among the sects (claiming Christianity), were transferred into civil laws for order and peace. Through a process of checks and bounds, an electoral means, of the people, by the people, for the people.

The core principle of forming the government, representation, empowerment was in the hands of the people, by the people, for the people. The people established the institutions of higher learning, such as Yale, Princeton and many other institutions from religious grass roots.

"Colossians 2:8 Take heed lest there shall be any one that maketh spoil of you through his philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ."

Paul a student of Jesus of Nazareth

In around 1880, a new devised terminology arrived on the scene, "psychology", plagiarized, robbed

further the meaning of the word "spirit". Psychology was paraded as the answer by so called intelligentsia of Germany. The states around the world then began to established and promote psychology in around 1900 in mega state building complexes, universities. They spread out in the religious base institutions, such as Princeton, through the erroneous and deceptive means in the false claim of INCLUSION. Once a few of its members, hiding their goals, reached majority control of the boards of the religious universities, they came out in the open, and began systematically removing board members, and faculty having conservative religious grass roots, mindsets. They spread out in governing institutions, congress, city halls, police, courts, hospitals. In the 1930's in the armed services. Particularly from the 1950's to 60's swelled the ranks of citizens entered the state universities. A massive direct and indirect government funding and prominence, trillions of tax dollars continued, the state established and promoted one particular human behavior group psychology as the one expertise (as was a religious sect in the past as the one Christianity), continued to reprogrammed directly and indirectly the nation's citizens, particularly beginning with the youthful. Some having very limited handling of the biblical writings, and some have none, had easily fallen, became disciples (students) in psychology, philosophy establish and promoted by the powerful states around the world. Psychology and philosophy with their unconstitutional empowerment from the state, gain dominance to leverage their social agenda in society and government affairs. Established unconstitutionally their world order. Resulting in people, voters being blind, indifferent, hostile to men who without the direct and indirect trillions of dollars of government establishment, promotion, follow instead the spirit (mental disposition, frame of mind, particular thinking) in 66 books of human behavior, particularly Jesus' and his apostles teachings.

Today the wide spread influence directly and indirectly of the mega complex universities in the 50 states of the USA (some larger states have a dozen state universities), upon the elections of the nation, could not of been fully recognized by the founding fathers, due to it wasn't until 1801 was established the first state university. Today in many countries around the world, their higher learning institutions are primary, most exclusively state universities, contrary to America where there remains a legacy of universities from religious upbringing, grass roots, to counter, buffer some of the overwhelming government promoting and establishing psychology and philosophy.

Today it is now psychology, professors, psychologist, unconstitutional given so called expert status, same as when a few hundred years ago, government had given a similar status, in referring to men as priest (experts) of the state. Psychology and philosophy, has been established and promoted by the powerful trillions of tax dollars directly and indirectly. With their unconstitutional empowerment corrupts the sensitive elections results. Particularly diluting the votes solely of the people, by the people, for the people, who are for Christian civilization spiritual (logical) principles in the bible. Since our nations foundation had been transferred in to laws of the land.

The colossal state university complexes are liken to temples, the religious cities of Egypt, the temple of Jerusalem, established, promoted by the state, memorizing the youthful citizenry. Unconstitutional the government facilitate specific rooms, auditoriums, where "in the hands of government paid employees" they program in psychology, philosophy or their so misperceived thoughts of religious groups, particularly Christianity. This violates the constitutional full empowerment, given to the many human behavior groups, particular religious, Christian groups outside of government, of the people, by the people, for the people, under God.

The constitution spirit, insures the people be the source of empowering government. Today it is the massive government universities, particularly government employees, that subvert, undermine, dilute the people's mindsets, vote, empowerment.

Abraham Lincoln, in his Gettysburg Address, spoke these words:

"- that we here highly resolve that these dead shall not have died in vain-that this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom-and that government of the people, by the people, shall not perish from the earth".

Are you highly resolved to restore the form of Government of the people, by the people, for the people, under God, herald by the people, our founding Fathers and Abraham Lincoln?

**Whose World Order?**

Oct 1, 2007

- I. Will it be as in the old world, the roman Catholic sect, as once promoted and empowered for hundreds of years by the state? Their priest decided when marriage occurs, when only they authorize the marriage? The catholic sect, commanded their subjects, that when one flesh (consensual sexual intercourse between a virgin male and female) occurs, its not a marriage, a sin? (Not once defined a sin, in the bible) Consequently resulting in, fatherless children, put up in Catholic institutions, priest claiming their the answer, when their much of the cause. The woman having babies, put up in Catholic institutions, again priest claiming their the answer, when their much of the cause, by not recognizing the marriages. Instead they deny the recognition of marriage for their own self righteousness gain, the wealthy, prominent parents, who refused their son's and daughters choice.
- II. Will it be a so called intelligentsia under the title of philosophy, psychology, promoted and established by trillions of tax dollars by the state, in mega campuses, universities and institutions of government? That violated the spirit of the establishment cause in the constitution, the last hundred years. This group had systematically disdain Christian principles from the bible for civilized order, for the purpose of establishing themselves as sole prominent, recognition, as the one human behavior group over all others. Consequently, resulting in so called free love, homosexuality, abortion, killing tens of millions, adultery, and causing millions of father less children?
- III. Will it be returned as in the beginning of the world, recognized the joining of the flesh (consensual sexual intercourse between a virgin male and female, even unknowingly to others), as joined for a lifetime?
  - Who Moses made specific laws recognized, when a damsel (young virgin woman became one flesh consensually with a man, (when unknowing to others) was found out, the man had to surly endow her, and could not put her always all his days.
  - Who Jesus, specifically directed, at a specific moment, one flesh (consensual sexual intercourse between a virgin male and female) as in the beginning, let not man separate.
  - Who men followed Jesus' direction for thousands of years, recognized one flesh (consensual sexual intercourse between a virgin male and female) occurs, in pitch fork, shot gun marriages. Holding these couples together, their obligations to one another, and providing the children a fathered upbringing.

These are the the three choices, whose world order will it be?

It has been taking some time to proofread this book. Not having the best grammar, and working in the summer months, leaves me less time and energy. It is however giving me a fresh mind, to reread my earlier writings, write more precisely my thoughts.

08-17-2008 I had dream, it left me having a strong sense of a deja view, that something big was going to happen next Sunday. It was seemingly so freighting, I couldn't remember anything, except the feeling of deja view, as if in the dreamed I remembered another dream. I wonder last time I had a dream of such an effect on me, it was a few weeks later , that it seemed to happen.

During the week, very earlier morning, about 1 am, I went out to have something to eat at Denny's. I notice my friend walking, I brought him along. I told him about the dream.

Later in the week, I went as a passenger with my older sister and her husband to see my parents in Canada. I told my mom about the dream. On Sunday I went outside for walk, along the narrows locks road. While walking back on the road, I had a strong affirmation feeling come over me. That the woman of the society, have idolized careers over motherhood. The new testament, command older woman to teach the young woman to be keepers at home. Particularly through childbearing will save them if they continue in

sobriety and faith (persuasion) of the the word of God. That childbearing was not the physical act, but Paul was describing as much as the word we use today motherhood. For childbearing represented giving birth and all the mothering afterwards, that protected woman from chasing the world's delights, falling in transgressions.

In my singles profile on church of Christ singles I wrote these words some days prior.

She has the spirit (mental disposition), to be a helpmate to her husband. God created her from the rib of Adam, to be a helpmate, not idolizing a career. When married she will not want to work outside the home. She don't accept the world's lover of money spirit, a careerist mentality, forsaking, despising her children which a career calls, forsaking despising her husband, forsaking despising her God the career calls. She wants 3 to 7 children as her goal.

She is close to her family, ideally living home with parents until she gets married. If she must work, between finding a husband, she should not have the office mentality of woman co workers, who sweet talk her to go out for the night, to drink. Then a man comes along, her mind weaken, sweet talks her in bed with him. She should be patiently waiting on God, for a man to love her the rest of her life, same for the man waiting, to love her the rest of his life. That she and him sees marriage is for lifetime, she and him sees there isn't any allowance by Jesus, to ever marry another.

She sees with more study, Jesus reveals in his new kingdom, the church and even outside the church, two causes that commit adultery in of themselves alone, one way for men particular only\* commits adultery indirectly when a husband simply puts away his woman especially in Jesus' times for no cause, has committed (indirect) adultery, being he is still as one flesh, one person, same body with his wife seen by God, when she commits adultery, becomes also her husband's sin, he commits adultery indirectly through still being connected to her body, seen by God. The further judgment commits adultery indirectly upon her husband, is due to the dire plight of wife (can't provided for herself alone) in Jesus' time. Not only causes her to commit adultery in Matt :5 Jesus had said, but now Jesus says in Matt:19:9 he commits adultery (indirectly), a further judgment upon him.

OR

The husband simply commits adultery (directly) with another woman.

Matt 19:9 in the correct Greek 'But I say to you, who ever puts away his woman not for fornication, and/Or (word sense, usage as if the word or) marries another, commits adultery'

Jesus has listed two forms of adultery the husband can commit, the first is indirect adultery, the second is direct adultery.

The word 'Except' in many translations, is known to be mistranslated in the 1500's, the actual word is "not"

Sad, the world has turned against these principles. Especially outside the church, but also at times inside the church. Many woman on this web site are running after careers. Fine if your single, but when married, they don't want to give them up. So she must be strong not to fall into the career mentality as her false idol. Hold her ground to be a stay at home mom, wait on the Lord Jesus.

1Ti 2:14-15 and Adam was not beguiled, but the woman being beguiled hath fallen into transgression: 15 but she shall be saved through her child-bearing, if they continue in faith and love and sanctification with sobriety.

Notice the word child bearing two thousand years ago. The word motherhood isn't used in the bible. Instead the phrase child-bearing, was the terminology used to define, motherhood. Define in their minds, all aspects of motherhood, not simply giving birth. Paul is describing that mother hood, will save her. Save her from what? Not speaking particularly child birthing (physical act), but rather saved from the beguiles of the world, such as liken to eve fallen in transgressions. Mother hood keeps a woman away form the world, occupied with care for her children, combined with faith (the persuasion of the whole word of God), love, sanctification with sobriety protects her from the beguiles of the world. In mother hood, there is a role, purpose God has given woman, one particular purpose is to save her from the the beguiles of the world, to keep her in the house, and share her love and care, and joy with her husband and children in the house and outside the house. If single she would be out of the house, in the world, tempted. Her primary purpose, to raised Godly children, guards herself form chasing after the world. Chasing after the world she opens herself up to the many beguiles (delights of the evil sins of the world), tempts her, more at risk to fall into transgressions.

An aspect of motherhood is to have her daughters in eye view, protect both her daughters virginity, from adulteress men, and her sons from an adulteress woman, to keep their virginity for their true love only.

Titus 2:5 To be discreet, chaste, keepers at home, good, obedient to their own husbands, that the word of God be not blasphemed.

Notice the words "keepers at home"

The greek difinition of the word keepers, is from oikoV - oikos 3624 and ouros (a guard; be "ware"); a stayer at home, i.e. domestically inclined (a "good housekeeper")--keeper at home.

She is down to earth, kind, caring. She has the mindset that being a wife and mom is the most precious and fulfilling duty to our father God. There is a nugget of wisdom in scripture, motherhood will save woman from the beguile of the world, keep her from falling in transgressions, if continue in the persuasion of the bible, love and purification, with not drinking alcohol. Some would say the proverbs 31 woman bought a plot of land to tend, so this somehow overrides the command of Paul to be a keeper at home. Tending a plot of land didn't require her children to be out of her sight, nor selling some items at the market. Paul wants all to marry. But if not married yet, having a way of providing for herself, is understandable and in case when married due to sickness, an emergency she may have to work is also understandable. However when the purpose of a woman working outside the home when married, is for a nicer larger house, or a car, or some other material possession, especially when children are involved, she is directly violating the scripture, and putting undue hardship within the family structure God has directed. Not only putting herself in immediate danger of falling in transgression, but also the danger of denying her children the adequate, nurturing, mentoring, protection , they need.

Jesus said: You cannot love God and money, you will despise one or the other.

1 Timothy 6:6-12

6But godliness with contentment is great gain. 7For we brought nothing into this world, and it is certain we can carry nothing out. 8And having food and raiment let us be therewith content. 9But they that will be rich fall into temptation and a snare, and into many foolish and hurtful lusts, which drown men in destruction and perdition. 10For the love of money is the root of all evil: which while some coveted after, they have erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows. 11But thou, O man of God, flee these things; and follow after righteousness, godliness, faith, love, patience, meekness.

Something been on my mind for years and recently. When a nation has a large number of wives work outside the home. First thing is there are a limited number of jobs, the excess of laborers in the work force, lowers income for all. This has a affect on a male single provider for a family. Lowering his wages to provide for a family. I hear continuously wives who work claiming, the wife must work outside the house

today. I see they have new cars, and more than one house or a super large house. They are not content, but idolizing wealth, careers. They don't have to work outside the home. Then the effect of woman chasing after so called education, not marrying until later years, causes young men and woman to not save their virginity, having multiple partners, disease. Deceiving themselves they are not married, then they shed innocent blood in abortions, or children not looked after, not nurtured, mentored, protected, woman not taking care of themselves being exhausted, being overwhelmed by chasing their careers. One added benefit if two thirds of the USA wives went back home, and it spread throughout the world woman going back home, the amount of oil that would be saved, will be in the tens of millions of barrels a day. For those who really think they are saving the earth, why have they never thought of this? Reason being is many only want to be seen anything but supporting Christianity's principles. Lest they lose their prominence, recognition in the world, to Christianity. There is a battle between Christianity principles and psychology partnered with philosophy tenets.

November 12, 2008, I went to Wednesday night bible study. My friend I known for about two years was there. Earlier in the day he sent me an email concerning a web site he wanted to make. A first draft of his thoughts for the web site.

**“Mission Statement:** My mission is to purify the world from profanity, vulgarity, pornography, sorcery and doctrine that is contrary to God's law. To do this, I will use 100% of all donations to buy books, movies, video games, CD's, clothing and paraphernalia that exposes children and adults to violence, corruption, perversion, and false doctrine. Prayer and God's word will be used to determine what material is ungodly, and that material will be burned. A child can be drawn into a lifetime of sin by one pornographic magazine. I worked in the business world after college, so I understand how businesses grow from profits. Therefore, my objective is to share the gospel and eliminate as much corruptive material from the world as I can, while preventing that industry from profiting and thriving.”

We had a discussion a week earlier, when he first mention it. I at the time after buying him dinner after bible study class, raised some objections, and cautioned him. Even hinting, when drove back to where his car was parked at the church, he should burn his books on psychology. He seemed to let it pass. Which was fine, I only wanted him to perhaps consider such a thought. After the recent Wednesday night bible study, I ask him to come to my truck. I like to share with him something. During the day I had written some suggestions, and objections. Decided to either give him the letter, or perhaps read it to him. Beside this, I wanted to tell him, he should walk away from psychology. My friends history had been majoring in psychology at buffalo university. He is 38 years old, from what I remembered he told me, he been going to to school since around 26 years old, attempting to obtain a PHD, in psychology.

In the truck , I told him I would like to read my letter. I also said I had something on my mind I wanted to say to him, the last three weeks. I said I was worried, telling him both things, may be to much for him to bear. He said “now it will be on my mind, you must tell me”. I was afraid, previously thinking, he may use his programing in psychology, and turn against me somehow. Use things I said, assume by looking at similarities, he been program in psychology to look for, and begin to apply them to me in his mind. Once he done this, who knows where he would take it, perhaps to the extreme. When during the last year I was careful what I told him, saying away from many things in my book that was hard to discern without proper understanding of events and my spirit (mental dispensation) surrounding them. He kept prodding me to tell him more about my life, and was critical of me and the church some in relationship to fellowship. Comparing our small congregation, to the mega modern evangelical congregation. The same type of church, me and assumed the girl at the skating rinks didn't find it favorable. He visited their singles social activities. I told him that us being much older, not married is not the norm. That in biblical times, we would have been married. That the married couples in the our congregation, aren't going to welcome single men over to their house, because of many reasons. That it's only natural for families in the church to warm up to each other, and be inviting each other over on an individual basis, being they have many things in common. I warned him about dating woman from the modern evangelical movement, that I rather not, being they don't believe baptism saves. That a relationship, is not the best time to consider changing ones beliefs about baptism, it causes much emotional discomfort for each. He seemed not to be solidly standing for baptism saves, or at least not considering the ramifications when in a marriage, if both disagree on the subject. I explained I am too old to go through the turmoil within, trying to convince a girl, while dating her, it's to painful. Then not sure even if she converts, she will remain in the belief. The likelihood she may

return to her old beliefs. He did date a girl from the modern Evangelical mega church. He seemed to have feeling for her, she had a child. He seemed to of ended it, last I heard sometime ago. We also talked about some similar past on some things, both being in love with a girl in our distant past, with the same first name and some similar happenings in our youth. I at times tried to tell him about marriage as in the bible, showing it only occurred at the moment of one flesh, never recorded ever in the bible involving a vow. Shown him some writings revealing the false claim by psychology to be scientific credible. I told him about my book I was writing some of it's objectives about marriage.

I read the letter to him in the truck.

**Hello (NAME), I rewritten how I perceive the way of obtaining your goals. If you use money to buy the material, you will be aiding and increasing the profits of the industry. The exact thing you mention about profits. Notice when the Ephesians began burning their books, none of the apostles said lets create a fund, and go buy up as many books, so we can to burn them. As I once said before there are many liberals, anti Christ ( a terminology in the bible, defines simply anyone against Christ), who would rather have everything allowed but safe, this is a tenet of philosophy. As in the example buying up guns, giving out clean needles for drug addicts, making prostitution legal, making smoking dope legal. Everything we do in life has connections and consequences. Your primarily goal should be to only aid them who want to destroy books that harm . Please do not offer them money, it will defeat many of your purpose and goals. Many will use the money they receive to buy new books, or for drugs, or for some other perhaps criminal enterprise. What you can do , is send them a blue ribbon, congratulating them for their witnessing to the harmfulness of the books, and contributing to ending people's enslavement to sin. This would be sufficient, and rendering any bad intentions, in the reason for sending in the material, to almost zero. Also many more people would support your goals. I for one couldn't if used money to purchase the materials. You don't have to use money to purchase them, just to being a witness, they will send in the materials, free of charge.**

**Warning be careful there have been through history men burning books, mostly for good reasons, but some for bad reasons. Such as the communist and Nazis who burned books, So burning books, have both good and bad connotations, to people. But if you make your goal clear, and stay away from the grey area.**

**A verse I added to his letter.**

**Acts 19:19** "Many of them also which used curious arts brought their books together, and burned them before all men: and they counted the price of them, and found it fifty thousand pieces of silver."

Word for word correction I made for him.

**Mission Statement:** My mission is to follow the example of the Ephesians when the apostles converted them to Christianity. The Ephesians "Acts 19:9-10 who *used curious arts brought their books together, and burned them before all men: and they counted the price of them, and found it fifty thousand pieces of silver. So mightily grew the word of God and prevailed*". To purify the world from pornography, sorcery. ( **I would leave out vulgarity and doctrine that is contrary to God's law. These are much to general, and can be taken and used against you. Especially there are many renown books that have some vulgarity in them, and would be seen by many including me as over reaching, you would loose 90 percent of your listeners. They will use this, give examples of books, to stop your efforts. If you can focus on the ones you mention particularly, that will be sufficient, to be a great witness. If you extend to far, their be a back lash.**) I will use donations, 90% ( 10% for administrated cost, less if more donations arrive)< **include this to reveal your not trying to get rich, but a modest income in any work is acceptable and appropriate**) to advertise on websites, in magazines, hopefully on tv, to have people send in their books, movies, video games, CD's, ( **leave out clothing**) and paraphernalia that exposes children and adults to violence, corruption, perversion, ( **leave out false doctrine, again to broad, general**). With Prayer and support from God's word (will be used to determine what material is ungodly,(leave out these words, Its already understood by early verse, repeating or making thinks sound not sure, will only lessen the impact ) the material will be burned in a public scene, recorded in

pictures and video, displayed on websites and in advertising to be a witness against harmful materials. A child can be drawn into a lifetime of sin by one pornographic magazine, or books related to sorcery, Wicca. I worked in the business world, **(after college, not necessary< lots of people didn't go to college, to much information)** so I understand how businesses grow from profits. Therefore, my objective is to share the gospel and eliminate as much corruptive material from the world as I can, while preventing that industry from profiting and thriving.

- To talk to someone about your salvation through Jesus Christ
- To send a contribution (make checks payable to: Redemption through Christ)
- Or if you have material you need destroyed

You can send them to PO Box:

(((((Rent a PO Box, to safe guard yourself from reprisals))))))

After I read it, we talked. He liked some what I written, such as about the gray area. We talked about using money to buy the items. He said again about the profitability issue, when buying items. He emphasized he knew about the profitability, he didn't want to cause anyone or the makers to profit, but still wanted to buy items. I said, It's been a while me, but my other friend ( my lifetime friend) had went to flea markets, and said, never seen a pornographic material there. He said, he seen it there. So I said well perhaps my other friend ( lifetime friend) hadn't been there in a while. He said he wouldn't buy items from the sellers at the flea market that have boxes of it, but from sellers, that sell a few items. I then thought oh, perhaps he has a different understanding after all about people profiting, if he bought items. I tried to give him the benefit of the doubt, to hear him out. Then he describe a particular store at the mall usually that sell lots of questionable materials, items. He said, he would like to buy some of items when for example its 10 percent off or perhaps he said for 10 percent cost. I said even at ten percent, your adding to their profitability, because it all goes at the top black line. Once they sell anything past that black line, its profit. He wasn't accepting of that conclusion. I disagreed, concluding he don't understand about profitability. I thought, I can't offer him the benefit of the doubt with his aim to buy items.

I then wondered again if I should tell him to walk away from psychology. I decided try to give him an example, to start. I said the counseling I just gave you in my letter, what if I had said, I will only give it to you, if you gave me fifty dollars? I clarified myself, said from now on, any counseling I give you, I will charge you for it. I ask what would you think of me? I don't remember an answer. I then then said you should leave psychology, walk away form it. He said at some moment, that's his lively hood. I said that any counseling that is dependent on money to be given, is questionable. It involves profitability. I said psychology the field, is phony. Then I wouldn't even call it a field and said it's a philosophy, having not scientific creditably. With money at its root, government attached and big drug companies profitability. At some moment he ask if I seen a psychologist. Thinking he meant going to a psychologist on a regular bias, for a period of time. My mind came up blank, I said no. Said, I will never go see a psychologist. He said, "I don't know anything about psychology. You never been to one." I then at some moment ask about, what he said at another discussion, he been to a psychologist. He thought it help him. I ask how did it help you. He said, it helped him, in realizing he had such great angry at his mother. I said you could of ask some one else, perhaps in the church. Dealt with it by yourself seeking, searching through the bible. I said I was aware of my mother, being upset with her, at a young age. I dealt with it, understood it, and it's in my book I written about her. For a split second I wondered if I should mention his seizures, that perhaps it has something to do with his programing in psychology. But then I thought, even because, he had said in another conversation, when he had the seizures, it was like him struggling with the bad spirits he acquired. I decided not to bring it up, being it was already to much for him perhaps. Suggesting him to leave psychology. Also I thought, there's no evidence either way to confirm anything. I ask him if he knew the history of psychology, how it originated in German universities. He said to me calm down. I said we both are being some emotional. We are just discussing, with a little emotion. I said, they put ice picks up the noses of people and stirred the front part of their brains. I said it, while motion slightly as if having ice picks in my hands about a foot and a half, from his face. He began to grin, pulled his head away slightly and laughed, said that was the past. I pulled my hands down and slightly position myself further away from him, lest he felt to uncomfortable, and take it somehow the wrong way. I said it's in their heritage. Using the

word heritage, trying to verify to him I know it was in the past, but its still their legacy in their mindset. They have been so brutal and extremely cruel, disregarding basic respect and caring of man. I position myself slightly sideways facing him some, being I figured this may be a long conversation, to get comfortable. I said they had electrocuted people, with the so called modern electric shock treatment. That it originated from pig slaughter farms. Where some so called expert in human behavior, observed the mobilizing effect when the men would electrocute the pig, to allow them to cut the pig, to bleed them out. Trying to get him to realize it's not a laughing matter. I said, its not funny, laughing at what they done. Thinking on this, he was perhaps laughing at more first thinking I may of thought they were currently doing lobotomies with ice picks driven in their brains. Then all of a sudden, He said, in a strong tone "whats wrong with you John? as if he seen something, I didn't. I was confident nothing was out of the ordinary. I tried to be polite but with some sternness and said there is nothing wrong with me. I looked at his eyes, they looked stern, and were glazed over. He said it again "Whats wrong with you John". For a brief second I wondered, concern if it had to do with his seizures. I was ask by others to look out if he has one. At that moment, I felt, sense he was perhaps following some psychology routine he learned or imagined, to project as if he was speaking to a client. I can only imagine what he may have been thinking. I became worried he may do something extreme, perhaps from what he was programed in psychology. I sense quickly he wasn't to be trusted. I wasn't going to take a chance, to let him project something falsely on me, by saying "what is wrong with you John". He then said "I'm going to speak to the pastor". The tone in his words, confirmed to me again to be very weary of him. I immediately said, the conversation has ended, leave the vehicle please. I said, I will not have anymore conversations with you. All conversations have ended from now on. He left the vehicle. I drove home, and immediately called the pastor, and told him step by step what had occurred. I didn't want him to call the pastor and say something, that hadn't occurred. The pastor was understanding, he said, "I know you", not to worry. Was understanding of some thing's I've said of psychology. Some faults he can see in psychology, some he couldn't go so far as me. Which I said, I understood. I don't expect him too. He said, words to the effect, the person I had the conversation, is majoring in psychology, and there was bound to be some friction. The pastor thank me, in calling him.

The next Thursday, I received a telephone call from my friend who I suggested him to leave psychology. He called to invite me to some card playing, with a few church members, a fellowship outing. I said to him, thanks for the invite, but I rather not attend. I reminded him, that I decided not to have any conversations with him for a time, will be for a while. He said, "you shouldn't stop having conversations, just because we disagreed." I said that's not the reason why I don't think we should have conversations. I said we can still disagree, and that's not an issue, problem. I said, I rather not say why I believe we should having any conversations. (thinking I couldn't trust him, with how his mind works, programed in psychology. While I am writing a book attempting to remove psychology and philosophy from government institutions. It would be best, then to take a chance of him misconceiving, perhaps him over reacting somehow to things I tell him.) He said, "I thought you were going to slug me in the truck." I said, I never had any thoughts what so ever in my mind. That I don't believe in such things. I said to him, I have no ill feelings toward him. I thanked him for his friendship. I said, I appreciate his friendship, and thanked him for getting me interested in playing volley ball in a league over the summer. I said, I hope the best for him, it would be a length of time until I will have conversations with him. Take care.

James 1:19 "Ye know [this], my beloved brethren. But let every man be swift to hear, slow to speak, slow to wrath: **20 for the wrath of man worketh not the righteousness of God.** 21 Wherefore putting away all filthiness and overflowing of wickedness, receive with meekness the implanted word, which is able to save your souls. 22 But be ye doers of the word, and not hearers only, deluding your own selves. 23 For if any one is a hearer of the word and not a doer, he is like unto a man beholding his natural face in a mirror: 24 for he beholdeth himself, and goeth away, and straightway forgetteth what manner of man he was. 25 But he that looketh into the perfect law (New Testament), the [law] of liberty, and [so] continueth, being not a hearer that forgetteth but a doer that worketh, this man shall be blessed in his doing. ."

Ephesians 6:10 Finally, be strong in the Lord, and in the strength of his might. 11 Put on the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil. 12 **For our wrestling is not against flesh and blood, but against the principalities, against the powers, against the**

***world-rulers of this darkness, against the spiritual (mental disposition, particular thinking, frame of mind) of wickedness in the heavenly.*** 13 Wherefore take up the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and, having done all, to stand. 14 Stand therefore, having girded your loins with truth, and having put on the breastplate of righteousness, 15 and having shod your feet with the preparation of the gospel of peace; 16 withal taking up the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the evil [one]. 17 And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit (mental disposition, particular thinking, frame of mind), which is the word of God: 18 with all prayer and supplication praying at all seasons in the Spirit, and watching thereunto in all perseverance and supplication for all the saints,

12-6-2011 I updated the book, the full biography as it was done at the date of May14, 2011. Previous I place certain parts on my website, that I thought was sufficient to protect me from my youngest sister dastardly behavior.

My friend after he been away for about eight months and I had a conversation May 29, 2011, in the church hall, with others around after service. The previous time I kept away from him, not to be alone with him, since the 2008 incident, even so was friendly to him, and hoped the best for him.

There was one occasion, a recent new convert of the church he seemingly offended, cause a great stir, on a church trip. Which I had told my friend, there wasn't any justification, even if offended someone, the actions of the other brother in Christ towards him. However it was refereed to in the incident, without my doing, seemingly great friction in relationship to my friend's involvement in his schooling in psychology/psychiatry. Which made me wonder, especially today.

The conversation in the church hall was brief, he informed me he wanted us to get together, he seemed very encourage we will get together. I felt I didn't want him to feel assured, thinking we would get together and only be very disappointed later. So I told him in some words to the effect, we can't get together, worried he will become much more upset later. I also tried to tell him some of the reasons, as we think very different, due to our disagreement over psychology/psychiatry, being in government. I couldn't bring myself to say to him, deeply worried he may falsely project something upon me from his programing in psychology/psychiatry, is a major factor. He abruptly interrupted, spoke as if total ignored what I said, said something as if to end the conversation instantly, so he could walk away very rudely. Which it shocked me, like the early conversation ended in the truck, that he tends to abruptly end conversations, as if he can't contain himself, with his false assumptions he projects on me.

A day later I received an email from him, and he express that he was very hurt and began to show in the email much disdain for me. One of his statements was when I responded to him, I imagine when I said 'we can't get together', he stated 'I was dying to say it to him!'. Which was not the case, I was trying to think of him, I didn't want to lead him on, to disappoint him even more later when I didn't get together with him. He finished the letter with 'don't email or phone him it will be blocked'.

I notice people throughout my life have projected false intents upon me, that they never can imagine any thing else, perhaps they never encountered the degree in which I try to look after people, from my christian principles, some quickly assume the worst and run with it.

I do believe much of the blame for the great division in America, is from the unconstitutional establishment of psychology/psychiatry a secular religion in government. Due their connection to their father Philosophy that teaches **'sexual intercourse' when known is mere nothing, when unknown is nothing** major enemy of the marriage concepts of this book. Could be found, if a lawyer or lawyers decided to take up the cause use the already existing supreme court cases, and documented evidence it's faddish, bias phoney/junk so

called science, to removed it from the government once and for all. To end the cult like following, that many measure others with their doctrines, dogmas. That has harden hearts, both religious and non religious against the truth in the the spirit (mental dispositions) found through out the the bible, particularly of Jesus and his apostles.

I dedicated since 2005 to write the book titled 'Jesus Marriage Proclamation' to inform people of some concepts of marriage, that I present the case been lost through time to many. With the aid of my life story biography, my many life trials and tribulations, to help reveal the concepts and help people in everyday struggles, to warn them, help them. I had tried to live by the marriage concepts since I was 12 years old, even with some doubts in the beginning I persisted in the concepts unbeknown to a girl I dearly loved very much. While I attempted my best to live my life by the marriage concepts, some of the struggles and tribulations were from being earlier molested by my older brother and cousin in my childhood, I had struggles to over come the damage to me. There was also horrendous child abuse by my parents, which also stolen much of my childhood, and left me with many more struggles. I eventually became a bible reader to find answers, understanding, wisdom, comfort, became a christian at 18 years old, was baptized in 1984. I aimed to manifest patience, kindness, gentleness, not easily angered, not to delight in evil, delight in the truth, perseverance, trust, hopeful, the concepts of love described in the bible, towards everyone, even my enemies. I written concerning in my search for many years and still searching for a wife that is free to marry according to the marriage concepts in the bible, that has it in her be God fearing, a biblical principled wife.

At the center core of the book, is the main theme, the main reason I wrote the book is an incident in a school yard. I was twelve years old, in visuals of scenarios, I reasoned, immediately perceived what they meant into concepts on marriage, that I believed were lost to many, by both the religious and the world, both enemies against such marriage concepts. Combined with research for more then 20 years exhaustively in the bible, to determine if what I thought at twelve years old, is supported in the bible. I presented the evidence I found in the book.

Another part of the book, is my research, I wrote commentary in articles, writings to congress since the 1990, on the subject of psychology/psychiatry inside government. Which from my life story, shows how I kept away prudently from psychology/psychiatry, and in my research through the years, came to the conclusion psychology/psychiatry should be placed outside of government to be constitutional, never inside government which is unconstitutional. I had written not only of reasoning, why it's unconstitutionally. Recently in an article of mine, I submit the use of previous supreme court cases, and evidence it's faddish, bias, junk/phoney science behind psychology/psychiatry, could perhaps be concluded a secular religion, unconstitutional to be placed in government. Also in the book practical solutions for government to address the many issues into laws, how to accomplish the monumental task of amendments to the constitution, in the removal of psychology/psychiatry from the inside of government and eliminate forevermore it's force so called counseling. Where the secular religion psychology/psychiatry would be placed only on the outside of government, where it was supposed to be in the first place:

- The government would only have the minimum task to stabilized the person, in a room where the person can't harm him or herself or others, without the use of counseling or drugs.
- Given to the person **'choice'** in a list of all law abiding, public funded only groups secular such as psychology/psychiatry or secular/supernatural (traditional religion) to receive their counseling or perhaps psychiatry drugs.
- In regards to psychiatry drugs, to attain a proper regulating of it's drugs. The person along with a member of one of the law abiding groups on the list, and a medical doctor, may appear before a special court, it's judge (anyone) **electd of the people, by the people, for the people** has the sole task of approval on an individual basis alone, the dispensing of a psychiatry drug.

[2007 Constitutional Spirit Seven Amendments to the Constitution](#)

[2010 The Government Respecting an Establishment](#)

God Bless  
John Jerome Nowak

John Jerome Nowak  
Thank you for your time and consideration  
**My personal website [Christjustified.com](http://Christjustified.com) Copyright © 2003-2012 All Rights Reserved**